Not-So-Frequently Asked Questions for LATEX

Miles

2010

This document addresses more esoteric issues in LATEX that have nonetheless actually arisen with the author. We hope that somebody will find it useful!

• Why is LATEX telling me that a command I use is undefined?

Answer. Make sure that you're using the amsmath package. This is included in rsipacks.sty (so it will automatically be included in your RSI paper and minipaper). To include it in other documents, put \usepackage{amsmath} in your preamble, before \begin{document}. Just to be safe, throw in amssymb and amsthm as well (so that you have all the fonts and symbols you would expect, and so that you can define theorems environments). If the command is a standard one, be sure that you spelled it correctly. If it's a command you defined (or thought you did), make sure that you really defined it.

• How do I get script letters, like \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{F} , and \mathcal{G} ?

Answer. You need the mathrsfs package, so put \usepackage{mathrsfs} in your preamble. Then you can use the font name mathscr to get the desired font in math mode. For example, \mathscr{L} yields \(\mathscr{L} \).

• How do I typeset a series of displayed equations so that the equals signs line up?

Answer. First, you need the amsmath package (see above). Once you have that, you use the align* environment, like this:

```
\begin{align*}
math &= more math \\
&= more math \\
```

other math &\le different math \\
&= yet more math
\end{align*}

This will produce something like

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} f(i,j) = \sum_{1 \le j \le i \le n} f(i,j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n} f(i,j);$$
$$1 + 1 + 1 = 2 + 1$$
$$= 3.$$

You can replace the equals signs with whatever other appropriate symbol you like $(\leq, \geq, \equiv, \cong, \subset, \text{ etc.})$. The important things to note are that you must put an & before each equals sign (or whatever) that you want aligned, and you need a double backslash (\\) whenever you want a new line. If you forget the double backslash, you may get something weird like this:

$$1+1+1=2+1$$
 = 3.

• What if I want some/all of my aligned equations numbered?

Answer. Use align instead of align* to number all of the equations. (In general, * in an environment name means "without numbering".) If you want one particular line not to have a number, put \notag on that line any place before the \\. Thus if you type something like this:

\begin{align}
a &= b \\
&= c \notag \\
\notag 1+2+3+4
&= \notag 3+3+4 \\
&= 6+4 = 10.
\end{align}

then you'll get something like this:

$$a = b$$

$$= c$$

$$(1)$$

$$1+2+3+4=3+3+4$$

= 6+4=10. (2)

• What if I want a bunch of equations in a row displayed, but not necessarily aligned?

Answer. User the gather* (or gather) environment. It works exactly like align*, except that the equations aren't aligned, so there's no need for the &'s before your equals signs. For example, if you type this:

```
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=0}^n 1 = n+1, \\
1+1+1+1+1+1 = 7.
\end{gather*}
```

then you'll get this:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} 1 = n+1,$$

$$1+1+1+1+1+1=7.$$

• What if I want to typeset a piecewise-defined function, like this?

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 - 2x + 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational,} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is rational.} \end{cases}$$

Answer. You do it like this:

```
\[ f(x) =
\begin{cases}
x^2 - 2x + 1, &\text{ if } x \text{ is rational}, \\
0, &\text{ if } x \text{ is irrational}.
\end{cases} \]
```

A few things to note here: the double backslash (\\) tells LATEX where your line endings are, as usual. We used the \text command so that LATEX would interpret the text in text mode. The \[and \] exist so that this equation is centered and displayed on its own line (and so that it's in math mode). (It's equivalent to \$\$... \$\$.) Finally, the &'s are there so that the conditional text lines up. If we took them out, then our output would look like this:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 - 2x + 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is rational,} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$

Of course, you can have as many different cases as you want. However, like many good things in life, the cases environment requires the amsmath package.

• Why doesn't LATEX like my prime signs?

Answer. The prime symbol (') in math mode is actually shorthand for the sequence "^\prime". (In math mode, \prime gives the prime sign, except that it's enlarged, and not in a superscript, like this: '.) If you put several primes in a row (as in a''), then LATEX is okay and knows how to handle it. However, if for some reason you try to use an exponent and then a prime symbol (for instance, a^\circ', trying to produce $a^{\circ\prime}$), then LATEX will be unhappy because it thinks you have used a double exponent, and it doesn't like that. You can appease it by replacing your 'with a \prime on the end of your exponent, like this: a^{\circ} \prime}.

How can I cram multiple lines into the subscript of a summation symbol?

Answer. If it's really necessary, you can use the \substack command, like this:

\[\sum_{\substack{
1 \le p \le n \\
p \text{ a prime} }} 1
\sim \frac{n}{\log n} . \]

That will produce the following output:

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le p \le n \\ p \text{ a prime}}} 1 \sim \frac{n}{\log n}.$$

This command requires the amsmath package.

• What if I have a huge equation that won't fit on one line?

Answer. If you only have one equals sign, then you have a few options. One is the multiline* environment, which works like gather*, except that the first line is aligned on the left, the last line is aligned on the right, and the middle lines are centered. You can also use the split environment within other environments; see amsldoc.pdf (the documentation for amsmath) for more details.

However, if the mess happens in a series of aligned equations, then the following solution is the best I know :

```
\begin{align*}
normal ={}& equation \\
big ={}& stuff + stuff + stuff \\
&+ stuff + more stuff.
\end{align*}
```

We've moved the &'s after the equals signs because we want the things right after the equals signs to line up. The {} after each = is so that the spacing is correct. (If we leave out the {}, then the + sign is a little farther to the left.) If you type that, you might end up with something like this:

$$\det A = (-1)^{i+1} a_{i1} \det(A_{i1}) + \sum_{k \neq i} (-1)^{k+1} a_{k1} \det(A_{k1})$$
$$= (-1)^{i+1} (b_{i1} + c_{i1}) \det(A_{i1})$$
$$+ \sum_{k \neq i} (-1)^{k+1} a_{k1} \left[\det(B_{k1}) + \det(C_{k1}) \right].$$